Cice Rivera

Touro University

Program: Doctor of Psychology in Human & Organizational Psychology

Concentration: Organizational Leadership

Contribution to Bout Me Healing

Journal of Psychology, Recovery & Forensic Research

Self-Administered Surveys in Addiction Recovery Research

Self-administered surveys are questionnaires that participants complete on their own, without speaking to a researcher directly. For example, someone in recovery can fill out an online survey at home, which can be more private and comfortable (Zimmerman, 2024). This method gives participants flexibility and privacy, which can help to answer honestly about sensitive topics (Zimmerman, 2024). For example, participants might feel more commutable reporting relapse triggers or emotional stress when a researchers is not observing them directly. Overall, a person in recovery might feel more comfortable reporting relapse when completing a survey at home instead of in person (Zimmerman, 2024).

One major advantage of self-administered surveys is that they are cost effective and easy to access. For example, researchers can send an online survey to different recovery programs at the same time without having to travel or schedule in-person (Anthony et al, 2025). As a result, researchers can send online surveys to many recovery centers, which helps to reach a larger and more diverse group of participants (Anthony et al, 2025). For example, participants from different cities or states can complete the survey without traveling. Participants can also complete the survey at their own pace, which can reduce pressure and improve honest response. For example, participants can take time to reflect about experiences with stress and relapse before answering, which can lead to accurate responses (Zimmerman, 2024). However, self-administered surveys also have some limitations that can affect accuracy. Participants may

misinterpret questions or even skip items, which can lead to errors in the data. For example, participant might skip questions about relapse triggers if they are not sure what the question means (Zimmerman, 2024). Another limitation is that some people might not have interest access or might not be comfortable using online surveys, which can create bias in the sample (Anthony et al, 2025). For example, older adults in recovery programs might be less likely to compete an online survey. In a study by Anthony et al. (2025), researchers found that online surveys can help participants share more personal experiences and save time and cost. For example, participants might feel more comfortable answering and discussing topics when completing the survey privately. For the study, I would use an online survey with clear and simple questions, test it with a small group fist, and keep responses anonymous to encourage honesty and participation (AAPOR, n.d). For example, participants can rate stress levels and describe triggers in their own words. This approach can help to collect accurate data about behavior patterns and improve support for recovery (Zimmerman, 2024). For example, understanding common relapse triggers can help individuals develop coping strategies in recovery.

References

AAPOR. (n.d.). *Best practices for survey research.* https://aapor.org/standards-and-ethics/best-practices/

Anthony, K., Miller-Day, M., Dupuy, M., Ventura, J., Hodges, A. L., Alonso-Pecora, D., & Dimas, H. (2025). *Is there really a difference? A comparison of in-person and online*

qualitative interviews. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 24. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/16094069251349580

Zimmerman, M. (2024). *The value and limitations of self-administered questionnaires in clinical practice and epidemiological studies.* World Psychiatry, 23(2), 210-212. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11083863/